

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,755	10/22/2001	Young-Kwon Cho	678-757 (P9993) 7574	
66547 7590 12/14/2007 THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C. 333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, KHAI	
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
01.101.121,	,		2611	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/037,755	CHO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KHAI TRAN	2611			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Se	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 September 2007</u> .				
, _	·				
• — •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 10-13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-9, 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number:

10/037,755 Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 9/17/2007 has been entered. Claims 1-18 are pending in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al (U.S. Pat. 6,163,563) in view of Yang et al (U.S. Pat. 6,731,674).

Regarding claims 5, 14, Baker discloses a modulator for generating a modulated pilot symbol by outputting an input pilot channel data at a designated phase according

to an information bit of the transmission data for determining the phase (col. 9, lines 37-42). Baker fails to disclose a spreader for spreading a modulated pilot symbol output from the modulator with a predefined orthogonal code.

Yang discloses an orthogonal spreader (715) for spreading a modulated pilot symbol output from the modulator with a predefined orthogonal code (a Walse orthogonal codes, see Figure 7, col. 15, lines 1-24). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the orthogonal spreader (715) for spreading a modulated pilot symbol output with a predefined orthogonal code as taught by Yang into the teachings of Baker's modulator in order to limit interference.

Regarding claims 6, 15, Baker discloses a modulator for generating a modulated pilot symbol channel data at a designated complex channel according to an information bit of the transmission data for determining the complex channel (col. 9, lines 37-42). Baker fails to disclose a spreader for spreading a modulated pilot symbol output from the modulator with a predefined orthogonal code.

Yang discloses an orthogonal spreader (715) for spreading a modulated pilot symbol output from the modulator with a predefined orthogonal code (a Walse orthogonal codes, see Figure 7, col. 15, lines 1-24). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the orthogonal spreader (715) for spreading a modulated pilot symbol output with a predefined orthogonal code as taught by Yang into the teachings of Baker's modulator in order to limit interference.

Application/Control Number:

10/037,755 Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 9, 18, Baker discloses a modulator for generating a modulated pilot symbol by outputting an input pilot channel data on a designated complex channel according to an information bit of the transmission data for determining the complex channel (col. 9, lines 37-42). Baker fails to disclose a spreader for spreading a modulated pilot symbol output with a predefined orthogonal code selected according to the information bit, from a plurality of orthogonal codes.

Yang discloses an orthogonal spreader (715) for spreading a modulated pilot symbol output with a predefined orthogonal code selected according to the information bit, from a plurality of orthogonal codes (a Walse orthogonal codes, see Figure 7, col. 15, lines 1-24). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the orthogonal spreader (715) for spreading a modulated pilot symbol output with a predefined orthogonal code as taught by Yang into the teachings of Baker's modulator in order to limit interference.

Claims 7, 16 are similar to claim 9. Therefore, claims 7, 16 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Claims 8, 17 are similar to claims 5, 9. Therefore, claims 8, 17 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-4, 10-13 are allowed.

Application/Control Number:

10/037,755 Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on (571) 272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KHAI TRAN
Primary Examiner

Wargnanth

Art Unit 2611